

# SHROPSHIRE COUNCIL AUDIT SERVICES

## INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT

### WEST MERCIA ENERGY

#### FINANCE 2013/14

|                        |             |
|------------------------|-------------|
| <b>Assurance Level</b> | <b>Good</b> |
|------------------------|-------------|

|                 |                           |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Customer</b> | <b>West Mercia Energy</b> |
|-----------------|---------------------------|

|                     |                               |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Distribution</b> | <b>Nigel Evans – Director</b> |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|

|                |                    |
|----------------|--------------------|
| <b>Auditor</b> | <b>Mark Seddon</b> |
|----------------|--------------------|

|                            |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| <b>Fieldwork dates</b>     | 17 <sup>th</sup> and 19 <sup>th</sup> September 2013 |
| <b>Debrief meeting</b>     | 19 <sup>th</sup> September 2013                      |
| <b>Draft report issued</b> | 2 <sup>nd</sup> October 2013                         |
| <b>Responses received</b>  | 31 <sup>st</sup> October 2013                        |
| <b>Final report issued</b> | 4 <sup>th</sup> November 2013                        |

## **Introduction and Background**

1. As part of the approved internal audit plan for 2013/14 we have undertaken a review of the Finance systems at West Mercia Energy.
2. This audit has been conducted in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards developed jointly by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) and the Chartered Institute of Internal Auditors (CIIA).
3. The Auditor would like to express his thanks to the officers who assisted during the course of the audit.

## **Scope of the Audit**

4. The scope, incorporating the objectives of the audit, was agreed with key contacts at the commencement of the audit.

Follow up of the previous recommendation and systems audit of the finance process, using established documentation and testing.

5. Audit work was undertaken to give assurance on the extent to which the following management control objectives are being achieved:
  - To ensure that previous recommendations have been implemented.
  - There is an established process for preparation of the annual budget.
  - The annual budget is approved by members prior to the start of the financial year.
  - There is an appropriate reconciliation process to ensure the accuracy of reported information.
  - Management Accounts are produced in a timely manner for review by senior management and members.
  - There are appropriate controls over the use of journal entries within the ledger.
  - There is a bank reconciliation process which is undertaken in a timely manner and reviewed by management.
  - There are appropriate arrangements in place for the recording and monitoring of VAT
6. The audit was delivered on time and budget.

## **Audit Opinion**

7. An opinion is given on the effectiveness of the control environment which indicates the level of assurance that can be taken based upon our testing and evaluation of the system. This opinion will be reported to the Joint Committee and will inform the Annual Governance Statement which is included in the Annual Statement of Accounts. There are four levels of assurance; Good, Reasonable, Limited and Unsatisfactory.

As a result of the evaluation and testing of the controls that are in place in the areas examined, from audit work undertaken we are able to give the following assurance opinion:

|             |  |
|-------------|--|
| <b>Good</b> | There is a sound system of control in place which is designed to address relevant risks, with controls being consistently applied. |
|-------------|--|

8. Responsibility for the maintenance of a sound system of internal control rests with management. The audit process is designed so that any material weaknesses in internal control have a reasonable chance of discovery through sample testing, it cannot guarantee or give absolute assurance against all material weaknesses, the overriding of management controls, collusion, instances of fraud or irregularity.
9. Audit recommendations are rated Fundamental, Significant, Requires Attention or Best Practice according to their level of priority. Details are included in the Exception Report provided to management and the Action Plan attached at Appendix 1. Implementation of these recommendations will serve to address the risks identified and enhance the procedures that are currently in place. The following table summarises the number of recommendations made in each category:

| Total | Fundamental | Significant | Requires Attention | Best Practice |
|-------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 1     | 0           | 0           | 1                  | 0             |

10. Our review identified only one minor exception. In all areas examined appropriate management controls were in place and operating satisfactorily upon which positive assurance can be given.
11. We reviewed the previous recommendations made at the last audit which were accepted by management, the results of which are shown in the following table:

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Number of recommendations accepted by management at the last audit | 7 |
| Recommendations implemented  | 6 |
| Recommendations partially implemented                              | 0 |
| Recommendations superseded   | 0 |
| Recommendations not actioned                                       | 1 |

Good progress has been made in the implementation of previous recommendations. The recommendation that remains outstanding has been repeated in the attached Exception Report and Action Plan.

## **Audit Approach**

12. The approach adopted for this audit included:
  - Review and documentation of the system.
  - Identification of key controls.
  - Follow up of previous recommendations.
  - Tests of controls to confirm their existence and effectiveness.
  - Evaluation of the controls and identification of weaknesses and potential risks arising from them.
  
13. Internal Audit report only by exception; the exception report provided to management identifies only those areas where control evaluation and audit testing revealed control weaknesses and or errors. Recommendations to improve controls or enhance existing practice are detailed against each exception and the associated risk, and are also included in the Action Plan at Appendix 1. A more detailed report covering all of the work undertaken can be provided on request, but this is only available in a working paper note format.
  
14. In accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, recommendations will be followed up to evaluate the adequacy of management action that has been taken to address the identified control weaknesses.

Ceri Pilawski  
Audit Services Manager

**APPENDIX 1**

**ACTION PLAN FOR WEST MERCIA ENERGY FINANCE 2013/14**

| <b>Rec Ref.</b> | <b>Rec No.</b> | <b>Recommendation</b>  | <b>Rec Rating</b>  | <b>Accepted Yes/No/ Partially</b> | <b>Management Response</b> | <b>Lead Officer</b> | <b>Date to be Actioned</b>  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---|
| 1.1             | 1              | Unpresented items on the bank reconciliations should include the issued date so that the age of items is clear. Items over six months old should be reviewed and the cheques cancelled and reissued as required (As recommended and agreed in the previous audit). | Requires Attention | Yes                               | Agreed                     | Martin Elson        | Completed 31 <sup>st</sup> Oct 2013 and will be reviewed 6 monthly thereafter |